



AHI PPS Innovation Fund Round IV

Scoring Template and Instructions

Scoring Template

Scoring: For each application component, a minimum of 1 point up to the maximum of 5 points is available using the guidelines below. Points should be awarded in full increments only (e.g. no partial points).

- *Number of Partner Organization Participants: Enter the number of participating organizations directly involved in workplan activities. Funds may or may not flow directly to all of these partners, but direct involvement is required. Lead Organization must be a safety net provider and a member of the PPS. Vendors are excluded as partner organizations. Include letter for commitment from each participating partner and clearly identify roles of each partner.*
 - *Score 1: 2 Partners*
 - *Score 2: 3 Partners*
 - *Score 3: 4 Partners*
 - *Score 4: 5 Partners*
 - *Score 5: 6 or more Partners*
- *Partner Roles defined*
 - *Score 1: No roles defined.*
 - *Score 2: No Score (I.E. use 1, 3 or 5 score only)*
 - *Score 3: Roles explained or commitment letters missing.*
 - *Score 4: No Score (I.E. use 1, 3 or 5 score only)*

- *Score 5: Letter of commitment from each partner with clear role definition for each partner involved*

- *Supports P4P measures and/or VBP DY4-5 and beyond:*
 - *Score 1: No direct impact on P4P or VBP.*
 - *Score 2: Correlation with, but unclear direct impact on P4P or VBP.*
 - *Score 3: Supports P4P or VBP but with limited expected impact.*
 - *Score 4: Supports P4P or VBP and describes direct impact with expected results.*
 - *Score 5: Support P4P or VBP and describes actual positive impact to date.*

- *Project Plan Process and project evaluation defined:* Describe the methodologies that will be used to track the progress of the project, including how the monitoring plan will include identification of barriers and strategies to resolve issues.
 - Score 1: Application describes minimal or no tracking methodologies.
 - Score 2: No Score.
 - Score 3: Application describes some methodologies that will be used to track progress of the project with limited use for identification barriers and resolve issues.
 - Score 4: No Score
 - Score 5: Application describes a sound plan of methodologies that will be used to track progress of the project and process to identify barriers and resolve solution.

- *Sustainability Plan:* Describe how the project will continue after the funding period is complete and identify specific linkage to VBP objectives for 2020 and beyond.
 - Score 1: Application does not indicate how the project would be sustained after the funding period
 - Score 2: Application has some general ideas about sustainability with limited detail.
 - Score 3: Application identified potential options for sustainability.
 - Score 4: Application clearly describes how the initiative will be sustained after the funding period but has not started implementing the sustainability plan.
 - Score 5: Application clearly describes how the initiative will be sustained after the funding period and has started implementing the sustainability plan.

- Ability of Replication or identification of replication of a project implemented by another PPS partner: Describe how PPS partners in other regions may be able to replicate your project or how you plan to replicate or leverage outcomes from an existing project.
 - Score 1: Application has no reference to ability to replicate, and reviewer does not see opportunity.
 - Score 2: Application has no reference to ability to replicate, but reviewer sees clear application to other partners and PHNs.
 - Score 3: Application references ability to replicate.
 - Score 4: Application describes a clear plan and vision for how this project can be replicated with other partners or PHNs.
 - Score 5: Application describes how replication is already occurring with other partners, or is replicating for this partner via this application.
- Description of Outcome Measurement plan: Describe how results will be measured, eg how P4P outcomes will be measured prior to and after implementation.
 - Score 1: Application does not describe how outcomes will be measured.
 - Score 2: Outcomes discussed without a measurement plan or with no reference to DSRIP P4P measures.
 - Score 3: Weak explanation of measurement of outcomes with limited definition of actual measurement.
 - Score 4: Method to measure outcomes is clearly defined but not used to date.
 - Score 5: Method to measure outcomes is clearly defined and has been utilized in current practice.
- Is this project transformational for the Medicaid population? The goal of DSRIP is to improve population health outcomes, improve access to health services, decrease avoidable hospital use and build an integrated delivery system to support VBP arrangements. Transformation is required to meet these goals.
 - Score 1: Application has no transformational implications to the Medicaid population.
 - Score 2: Application builds on existing infrastructure that has some transformational implications for the Medicaid population.
 - Score 3: Application has some key transformational components with limited information on expected impact to the Medicaid population.
 - Score 4: Application has well-articulated and planned system transformation with limited information on expected impact to the Medicaid population.
 - Score 5: Application has well-articulated and planned system transformation with clear expected impact to the Medicaid population, such as high probability of reduction in cost to Medicaid system and/or improved quality.

- Budget Justification and Budget Template: Complete the Budget Template (separate Excel file) and Budget Justification. In the Justification please provide a brief and clear explanation for why the budget items are necessary to complete the project. Be sure to include any other funding sources in addition to this innovation grant.
 - Score 1: Budget justification does not adequately link project costs to objectives/impact. Some budget items are not cost effective.
 - Score 2: No score (*I.E. use 1, 3 or 5 score only*)
 - Score 3: Justification gives basic explanation with limited link between project objectives and budgeted costs and/or some budget items are not cost effective.
 - Score 4: No score. (*I.E. use 1, 3 or 5 score only*)
 - Score 5: Clear, aligned, cost effective budget. Applicant clearly links project objectives/impact and budgeted costs including why they are required to execute the project. The funds are spent judiciously.